



**PUBLICATIONS OF THE HAZARD PROJECT**  
**11:2017**

**NONHOMOGENOUS POISSON PROCESS  
APPLICATION TO MODELLING ACCIDENTS  
NUMBER AT BALTIC SEA WATERS AND PORTS**

Franciszek Grabski  
*Naval University, Gdynia, Poland*



11:2017

**NONHOMOGENOUS POISSON PROCESS  
APPLICATION TO MODELLING ACCIDENTS  
NUMBER AT BALTIC SEA WATERS AND PORTS**

Franciszek Grabski  
*Naval University, Gdynia, Poland*

Turku 2017

ISBN 978-951-29-7082-7

2017

**PUBLISHED BY:**  
**HAZARD Project**  
**Turku School of Economics**  
**University of Turku**  
**Rehtorinpellonkatu 3, FI- 20014 University of Turku, Finland**  
<http://blogit.utu.fi/hazard/>

**Editor-in-Chief of HAZARD Publication Series:**

**Professor Lauri Ojala**  
Turku School of Economics  
University of Turku, Finland

**Members of the Editorial Team of HAZARD Publication Series:**

**Professor Wolfgang Kersten**  
Institute of Business Logistics and General Management  
Hamburg University of Technology, Germany

**Mr. Torbjörn Lindström**  
Southwest Finland Emergency Service

**Associate Professor Daniel Ekwall**  
Faculty of Textiles Engineering and Business  
University of Borås, Sweden

**Mr. Norbert Smietanka**  
HHLA AG, Hamburg, Germany

**Dr. Jarmo Malmsten**  
Turku School of Economics  
University of Turku, Finland

**University Professor Joanna Soszyńska-Budny**  
Faculty of Navigation  
Gdynia Maritime University, Poland

**Editorial Officer of HAZARD Publication Series:**

**Ms. Mariikka Whiteman**  
Turku School of Economics, University of Turku, Finland

*All rights reserved. Whilst all reasonable care has taken to ensure the accuracy of this publication, the publishers cannot accept responsibility for any errors or omissions.*

*This publication has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union. The content of this publication is the sole responsibility of the publisher and under no circumstances can be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union.*

*The content of this publication reflects the authors views. The Investitionbank Schleswig-Holstein is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained herein.*

*Photo credits for the cover: Mr. Esko Keski-Oja, Finland*

Published 25.9.2017

This publication is co-financed from financial resources of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, Poland for science in the years 2016-2019 granted for realization of the co-finance projects.

The article has been published earlier in JPSRA/ASMDA Proceedings.

**Keywords**

Poisson process, safety characteristics.

**Abstract**

The stochastic processes theory provides concepts and theorems that allow to build probabilistic models concerning incidents or (and) accidents. Counting processes are applied for modelling accidents number in Baltic Sea region in the given time interval. A crucial role in construction of the models plays a Poisson process and its extensions especially a nonhomogeneous Poisson process. The models of accidents number in the sea and seaports are here presented. Moreover some procedures of the model parameters identification are presented in the paper. Estimation of model parameters was made based on data from reports of HELCOM (2014) and Interreg project Baltic LINes (2016-2019).

## TABLE OF CONTENTS


<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>STATISTICAL ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>HOMOGENUOUS POISSON PROCESS .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>NONHOMOGENEOUS POISSON PROCESS .....</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1	Illustrative example .....	16
<b>5</b>	<b>MODELS OF ACCIDENTS NUMBER IN THE BALTIC SEA AND SEAPORTS.....</b>	<b>17</b>
5.1	Estimation of models parameters .....	17
5.2	Anticipattion of the accident number .....	19
5.3	Models describing total sum of accidents in the in the Baltic See Region....	21
5.4	Models describing different kinds of number of accidents in the Baltic See due to location.....	22
5.5	Models describing number of accidents in the Baltic See Ports .....	22
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>24</b>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Parameters of the constructed models were estimated on the basis of the available data coming from reports [9], [10] and [11]. So, the main issues of the work will be preceded by the background, based on these reports. To monitor shipping safety and maintain the safety at sea HELCOM annually compiles a report on shipping accidents in the Baltic Sea. "The Interreg project Baltic LINes (2016-2019) seeks to increase transnational coherence of shipping routes and energy corridors in Maritime Spatial Plans to prevent cross-border mismatches and secure transnational connectivity as well as efficient and sustainable use of Baltic Sea space". The report [9] was developed under Work Package (WP) 2.1. Screen and analyse available information. This report contains the data and maps related to shipping in the Baltic Sea. It represents a first synthesis of available literature on the topic of shipping in the Baltic Sea and related past, present and future developments relevant for MSP. We should add that data mainly concern events in the Baltic Sea and relatively little information on accidents in the ports.

## 2 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Annual report on shipping accidents in the Baltic Sea in 2013 HELCOM (2014) provides a lot of important information relevant to our problem. From the data coming from report we can assess some parameters in our models.



*Figure 1.* Total number of ships crossing in the Baltic Sea during 2006-2013


*Table 1* and *Figure 1* show a total number of ships crossing in the Baltic Sea during 2006-2013. The minimum number of ships crossing amounted 376671 in 2006 and maximum was 430064 in 2008. From 2009 to 2013 the number of ships crossing was in interval 342754 – 391699.



**Table 1.** Total number of ships crossing all fixed HELCOM AIS lines in the Baltic Sea during 2006-2013

Year	Passenger	Cargo	Tanker	Other	No info	Total
2006	42731	226855	67458	39627	0	<b>376671</b>
%	11	60	18	11	0	<b>100</b>
2007	43998	237740	69281	53225	8204	<b>412448</b>
%	11	58	17	13	2	<b>100</b>
2008	43060	206755	60746	104814	14689	<b>430064</b>
%	10	48	14	24	3	<b>100</b>
2009	37994	198427	68008	61014	9234	<b>374677</b>
%	10	53	18	16	2	<b>100</b>
2010	30471	181932	59409	46950	23028	<b>342754</b>
%	9	53	17	14	7	<b>100</b>
2011	35398	207273	64957	60123	23948	<b>391699</b>
%	9	53	17	15	6	<b>100</b>
2012	33193	207056	66524	54627	22959	<b>384359</b>
%	9	54	17	14	6	<b>100</b>
2013	31329	182770	61193	57959	17141	<b>350392</b>
%	9	52	17	17	5	<b>100</b>

*Table 1* shows in detail the number and types of ships crossing in the years 2006 -2013 in the Baltic Sea.



**Figure 2 .** Different types of cruises in ships crossing in the Baltic Sea during 2006-2013

Based on data coming from [10] we have drawn up *Figure 3* that shows a total number of shipping accidents in the Baltic Sea during 2006-2013.

According to the reports 149 ship accidents occurred in the Baltic Sea area in 2013, which is the highest recorded number in the last ten years (*Figure 3*) . The number of accidents in the Baltic

Sea has shown a slight increase in the last three years. Compared to 2010 the total number of accidents increased by 17% in 2013.




Figure 3. Total number of reporting ship accidents in the Baltic Sea during 2004-2013

Using data from Table 1 and Figure 1 we can compute the indicators of shipping accidents intensity in relation to the ships crossing number.

We define indicators  $\gamma = \frac{NSC}{NA}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{NA}{NSC}$ , where


$NSC$  – number of ship crossing ,

$NA$  – number of shipping accidents.


Table 2. Indicators of shipping accidents intensity in relation to the ships crossing number.

Year	$\gamma = \frac{NSC}{NA}$	$\alpha = \frac{NA}{NSC}$
2006	3275,40	0,000305
2007	3495,32	0,000286
2008	3130,84	0,000320
2009	3258,06	0,000306
2010	2698,85	0,000370
2011	2739,15	0,000365
2012	2596,97	0,000385
2013	2351,62	0,000425

From the *Figure 4* it follows that the indicator  $\alpha$  of shipping accidents intensity with respect to the ships crossing number in the Baltic Sea during 2006-2013 has a growing trend over time.



*Figure 4.* Indicator  $\alpha$  of shipping accidents intensity with respect to the ships crossing number in the Baltic Sea during 2006-2013



*Figure 5.* Indicator  $\gamma$  of ships crossing number with respect to the shipping accidents in the Baltic Sea during 2006-2013

The indicator  $\gamma$  represents the average number of ships crossing on one accident. From *Figure 5* it follows that the indicator has a decreasing trend over time. This number can be interpreted as the average number of ships crossing between accidents.

The number of shipping accidents increased over the past years, with cargo ships most frequently involved followed by passenger ships and tankers [9],[10],[11]. Human error is the main cause for accidents and is mainly related to unintentional action. However, 17% of the accidents occurred after intentional decisions against common rules and plans [9]. The number of collisions with other vessels and contacts to fixed or floating objects has south-western Baltic Sea is the main hotspot for these types of accidents. The offshore wind energy sector will have high spatial demands in the future especially when ample safety distances are assigned to all components and additional space is reserved for the related service traffic. The expected increase in leisure traffic will also demand more space which should be possible dedicated to an expansion of safety distances to keep the commercial shipping possible dedicated to an expansion of safety distances to keep the commercial shipping [9].




Figure 6. [10] Types of accidents in the Baltic Sea in 2004-2013




Figure 7. [11] Location of accidents in the Baltic Sea in 2012

### 3 HOMOGENUOUS POISSON PROCESS

The dangerous events and accidents number in Baltic Sea region during given time are the randomly changing quantities. The theory of stochastic (random) processes allows the modelling of the random evolution of systems through the time. A crucial role in construction of the models plays a Poisson process and its extensions. They allow to construct the models of the dangerous incidents and accidents number in the sea and seaports of the Baltic region.

A random process  $\{X(t): t \geq 0\}$  is said to be *process with independent increments* if for all  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  such that  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$  the random variables

$X(0), X(t_1) - X(0), \dots, X(t_n) - X(t_{n-1})$  are mutually independent. If the increments  $X(s) - X(t)$  and  $X(s+h) - X(t+h)$  for all  $t, s, h > 0, s > t$  have the identical probability distributions then  $\{X(t): t \geq 0\}$  is called a process with the *stationary independent increments* (SII). It is proved, that for the SII processes such that  $X(0) = 0$  an expectation and a variance are

$$E[X(t)] = m_1 t, \quad V[X(t)] = \sigma_1^2 t, \quad (1)$$

where

$$m_1 = E[X(1)] \text{ and } \sigma_1^2 = V[X(1)]. \quad (2)$$

An example of a SII random process is a Poisson process.

A stochastic process  $\{X(t); t \geq 0\}$  taking values on  $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , with the right continuous trajectories is said to be a Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda > 0$  if:

1.  $X(0) = 0$ ,
2.  $\{X(t): t \geq 0\}$  is the process with the *stationary independent increments*,
3. For all  $t > 0, h \geq 0$ ,

$$P(X(t+h) - X(t) = k) = \frac{(\lambda h)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda h}, \quad (3)$$

$$k \in S$$

For  $t = 0$  we get a first order distribution of the Poisson process:

$$p_k(h) = P(X(h) = k) = \frac{(\lambda h)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda h}, \quad k \in S \quad (4)$$

For  $h = 1$  we obtain the Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . Hence  $E[X(1)] = \lambda$  and  $V[X(1)] = \lambda$ . Therefore, from (1) and (2), we obtain the expectation and the variance of the Poisson process:

$$E[X(t)] = \lambda t, \quad V[X(t)] = \lambda t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

For a fixed  $t$  this formula determines the Poisson distribution with parameter  $\Lambda = \lambda t$ :

$$p(k) = P(X = k) = \frac{(\Lambda)^k}{k!} e^{-\Lambda}, \quad k \in S.$$

Let  $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2, \dots$  represent the consecutive instants of the state changes (jumps) in the Poisson process or another process with the right continuous, nondecreasing and piecewise constant trajectories. The random variables  $\vartheta_1 = \tau_1, \vartheta_2 = \tau_2 - \tau_1, \dots$  denote the sojourn times of the states  $0, 1, \dots$ . Let us notice that

$$\tau_0 = \vartheta_0 = 0, \tau_n = \vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2 + \dots + \vartheta_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\tau_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = \sup\{\tau_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

A stochastic process  $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$  defined by the formula

$$N(t) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \tau_n \leq t\}$$

is called a *counting process* corresponding to a random sequence  $\{\tau_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ .

For the Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda$  the random variables  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \dots, \vartheta_n, n = 2, 3, \dots$  are mutually independent and *exponentially distributed with the identical parameter  $\lambda$* .

The Poisson process is a *counting process* which is generated by the random sequence  $\{\tau_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ , where  $\tau_n = \vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2 + \dots + \vartheta_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### 4 NONHOMOGENEOUS POISSON PROCESS

Let  $\{N(t); t \geq 0\}$  be a stochastic process taking values on  $S = \{0,1,2, \dots\}$ , value of which represents the number of events in a time interval  $[0, t]$ .

A counting process  $\{N(t); t \geq 0\}$  is said to be *nonhomogeneous Poisson process* (NPP) with an intensity function  $\lambda(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  such that  $\lambda(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq 0$ , if

1.  $P(N(0) = 0) = 1$ ;
2. The process  $\{N(t); t \geq 0\}$  is the stochastic process with independent increments and the right continuous trajectories;

$$3. P(N(t+h) - N(t) = k) = \frac{\left(\int_t^{t+h} \lambda(x) dx\right)^k}{k!} e^{-\int_t^{t+h} \lambda(x) dx};$$

From this definition it follows that the one dimensional distribution of NPP is given by the rule

$$P(N(t) = k) = \frac{\left(\int_0^t \lambda(x) dx\right)^k}{k!} e^{-\int_0^t \lambda(x) dx}, \quad (6)$$

$$k = 0,1,2, \dots$$

The expectation and variance of NPP are the functions

$$\Lambda(t) = E[N(t)] = \int_0^t \lambda(x) dx, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

$$V(t) = V[N(t)] = \int_0^t \lambda(x) dx, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The corresponding standard deviation is

$$D(t) = \sqrt{V[N(t)]} = \sqrt{\int_0^t \lambda(x) dx}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The expected value of the increment

$$\begin{aligned} & N(t+h) - N(t) \\ \Delta(t; h) &= E(N(t+h) - N(t)) \\ &= \int_t^{t+h} \lambda(x) dx \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The corresponding standard deviation is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(t; h) &= \sigma(N(t+h) - N(t)) \\ &= \sqrt{\int_t^{t+h} \lambda(x) dx} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

An nonhomogeneous Poisson process with  $\lambda(t) = \lambda$ ,  $t \geq 0$  for each  $t \geq 0$ , is a regular Poisson process.

The increments of an nonhomogeneous Poisson process are independent, but not necessarily stationary.

A nonhomogeneous Poisson process is a Markov process.

#### 4.1 Illustrative example

The number of accidents during one year can be describe by a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with an intensity function given by

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} 0,42 & \text{for } t \in [0, 121) \\ 0,35 & \text{for } t \in [121, 244) \\ 0,40 & \text{for } t \in [244, 365) \end{cases}$$

Using (7) we compute the expected value of the process. We obtain

$$\Lambda(t) = \begin{cases} 0,38 t & \text{for } t \in [0, 121) \\ 0,35 t + 45,98 & \text{for } t \in [121, 244) \\ 0,40 t + 85,4 & \text{for } t \in [244, 365) \end{cases}$$

The number of dangerous events between May 1 and September 30 is a random variable having Poisson distribution with parameter  $\Delta(t; h)$  given by (8), where  $t = 121$  [day] and  $t + h = 275$  [day].

Hence, from (8) and (9) we obtain the parameter of the Poisson distribution

$$\Lambda = E(N(275)) - E(N(121)) = 195,4 - 85,91 = 109,49.$$

The corresponding to it standard deviation is

$$\sigma = \sqrt{109,49} = 10,46.$$

Probability that the number of dangerous events between May 1 and September 30 is not greater than 100 is

$$P_{\leq 100} = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{100} \frac{(\Lambda)^k}{k!} e^{-\Lambda}, \text{ where } \Lambda = 109,49$$

Applying approximation by normal distribution we get

$$P_{\leq 100} = \Phi\left(\frac{100 - 109,49}{10,46}\right) = \Phi(-0,907) = 0,183$$



## 5 MODELS OF ACCIDENTS NUMBER IN THE BALTIC SEA AND SEAPORTS

Let  $\{N(t); t \geq 0\}$  be a stochastic process taking values on  $S = \{0,1,2, \dots\}$ , value of which represents the number of accidents in the Baltic Sea in a time interval  $[0, t]$ . Due to the nature of these events, pre-assumption that it is a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with some parameter  $\lambda(t) > 0$ , seems to be justified. The expected value of increment of this process is given by (8) while its one dimensional distribution is determined by (6). We can use practically these rules if will know the intensity function  $\lambda(t) > 0$ . To define this function we utilize information presented above in Statistical Analysis.

### 5.1 Estimation of models parameters

Dividing the number of accidents in each year, that are shown in figure 3, by 365 or 366 we get the intensity in units of [1 / day]. The results are shown in Table 3. Figure 8 shows the empirical intensity of accidents in the Baltic Sea and Seaports.

As a parameters of the models we will approximate the empirical intensity by a linear regression function  $y = ax + b$  that satisfied condition

$$S(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - (ax_i + b)]^2 \rightarrow \min$$

Recall that parameters  $a$  and  $b$  are given by the rules

$$a = \frac{\mu_{11}}{\mu_{20}} \quad b = m_{01} - am_{10} \quad (10)$$

or

$$a = r \frac{s_Y}{s_X} \quad b = \bar{y} - a\bar{x},$$

where

$$\bar{x} = m_{10} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad \bar{y} = m_{01} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i,$$

$$m_{11} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i, \quad \mu_{11} = m_{11} - m_{10} m_{01},$$

$$r = \frac{\mu_{11}}{\sqrt{\mu_{20} \mu_{02}}}.$$

*Table 3.* The empirical intensity of accidents in the Baltic Sea and Seaports

Year	Interval	Center of interval	Number of accidents	Intensity [1/day]
2004	[0, 366)	183	133	0,36338
2005	[366,731)	731,5	146	0,40000
2006	[731, 1096)	913,5	115	0,31506
2007	[1096, 1461)	1278,5	118	0,32328
2008	[1461, 1827)	1644	138	0,37704
2009	[1827, 2192)	2009,5	115	0,31506
2010	[2192, 2557)	2374,5	127	0,34794
2011	[2557, 2922)	2374,5	143	0,39178
2012	[2922, 3288)	3105	148	0,40437
2013	[3288, 3653)	3470,5	149	0,40821

Applying the rules (10) for the data from *Table 3* and using Excel we obtain the linear intensity of accidents

$$\lambda(x) = 0.0000147564 x + 0.3379257233, \quad x \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

From (7) we have

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t (0,0000147564 x + 0,3379257233) dx.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\Lambda(t) = 0,0000073782 t^2 + 0,3379257233 t, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (12)$$




Figure 8. The empirical intensity of accidents in the Baltic Sea and Seaports

From (6) and (7) we obtain one dimensional distribution of NPP

$$P(N(t) = k) = \frac{(\Lambda(t))^k}{k!} e^{-\Lambda(t)}, \quad (13)$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\Lambda(t)$  is given by (9).

Finally we can say that the model of the accident number in the Baltic Sea and Seaport is the nonhomogeneous Poisson process with the parameter  $\Lambda(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  determines by (9).

## 5.2 Anticipation of the accident number

From (6) we get

$$P(N(t+h) - N(t) = k) = \quad (14)$$

$$= \frac{[\Lambda(t+h) - \Lambda(t)]^k}{k!} e^{-[\Lambda(t+h) - \Lambda(t)]};$$

It means that we can anticipate number of accidents at any time interval with a length of  $h$ . The expected value of the increment

$N(t+h) - N(t)$  is defined by (8).

For the function  $\Lambda(t)$  given by (9) we obtain the expected value of the accidents at time interval  $[t, t+h)$

$$\Delta(t; h) = a h^2 + b h + 2 a t h, \quad (15)$$

where

$$a = 0.0000073782 \quad \text{and} \quad b = 0.3379257233$$

The corresponding standard deviation is

$$\sigma(t; h) = \sqrt{a h^2 + b h + 2 a t h}. \quad (16)$$

#### Example 1

We want to predict the number of accidents from June 1 of 2017 to September 30 of 2017. We also want to calculate the probability of a given number of accidents.

First we have to determine parameters  $t$  and  $h$ . To do it we extend part of *Table 9*.

*Table 4.* Extensions of part of *Table 9*

2013	[3288, 3653)
2014	[3653, 4018)
2015	[4018, 4383)
2016	[4383, 4749)
2017	[4749, 5114)

From January 1 of 2017 to June 1 of 2017 have passed 151 days. Hence  $t = 4749 + 151 = 4900$ .

From June 1 to September 30 have passed  $h = 122$  days. For these parameters we obtain

$$\Delta(t; h) = 50,16$$

$$\sigma(t; h) = 7,08$$

This means that the average predicted number of accidents between 1 June 2017 and 30 September 2017 is about 50 with a standard deviation of about 7.

Probability that the number of accidents in the Baltic Sea and Seaports between June 1, 2017 and September 30, 2017 is not greater than  $d=60$  and not less than  $c=40$  is

$$P_{40 \leq k \leq 60} = P(40 \leq N(t + h) - N(t) \leq 60) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=60}^{k=40} \frac{50,16^k}{k!} e^{-50,16};$$

Applying approximation by normal distribution we get

$$P_{40 \leq k \leq 60} = \Phi\left(\frac{60 - 50,16}{7,08}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{40 - 50,16}{7,08}\right) =$$

$$= 0.84206$$

### 5.3 Models describing total sum of accidents in the in the Baltic See Region.

From the so-called theorem on adding of the random variables with Poisson distributions it follows that *the sum of  $n$  independent nonhomogeneous Poisson processes  $N_1(t), N_2(t), \dots, N_n(t)$  with parameters  $\lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t), \dots, \lambda_n(t)$ , is the nonhomogeneous Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda(t) = \lambda_1(t) + \lambda_2(t) + \dots + \lambda_n(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$* . It means that the process  $\{N(t); t \geq 0\}$ ,  $N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t) + \dots + N_n(t)$  is the nonhomogeneous Poisson. This process counts total number of accidents in a Baltic Sea Region in a time interval  $[0, t]$  while the processes  $N_1(t), N_2(t), \dots, N_n(t)$  denote different kind of accidents due the partition of them according to different criteria.

A first order distribution of this process is given by the rule

$$P(N(t) = k) = \frac{\left(\int_0^t \lambda(x) dx\right)^k}{k!} e^{-\int_0^t \lambda(x) dx},$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) + \dots + \lambda_n(x), \quad x \geq 0.$$

It is also true to say that every nonhomogeneous Poisson process can be represented as a sum of independent Poisson processes with parameters  $\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x), \dots, \lambda_n(x)$ , such that  $\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) + \dots + \lambda_n(x) = \lambda(x)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

#### 5.4 Models describing different kinds of number of accidents in the Baltic See due to location

Due to the location of the accident, based on the data presented in Figure 7, the nonhomogeneous Poisson process can be represented as a sum

$$N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t) + N_3(t) + N_4(t),$$

where

$N_1(t)$  – number of accidents in the ports,

$N_2(t)$  – number of accidents in the open sea,

$N_3(t)$  – number of acc. in the port approaches,

$N_4(t)$  – number of other accidents.

The corresponding to these processes parameters are:

$$\lambda_1(x) = 0,44 \lambda(x), \quad (17)$$

$$\lambda_2(x) = 0,35 \lambda(x), \quad \lambda_3(x) = 0,14 \lambda(x),$$

$$\lambda_4(x) = 0,07 \lambda(x).$$

#### 5.5 Models describing number of accidents in the Baltic See Ports

According to (12) and (17) the expectation of the process  $N_1(t)$  describing number of accidents in the Baltic Sea Ports is given by

$$\Lambda_1(t) = 0,44 (0,0000073782 t^2 + 0,3379257233 t), \quad (18)$$

The expected value of the accidents at time interval  $[t, t + h)$  is

$$\Delta_1(t; h) = a_1 h^2 + b_1 h + 2a_1 t h, \quad (19)$$

where

$$a_1 = 0,000003246408, \quad (21)$$

$$b_1 = 0,148687318252. \quad (22)$$

The corresponding standard deviation is

$$\sigma_1(t; h) = \sqrt{a_1 h^2 + b_1 h + 2 a_1 t}. \quad (23)$$

### Example 2

We want to anticipate the number of accidents in the Baltic Sea Ports from June 1, 2017 to September 30, 2017. We also want to calculate the probability of a given number of that kind of accidents. Parameters  $t$  and  $h$  are the same like in example 1, parameters  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  are given by (21) and (22). Using the formula (19) we obtain the expected value of the accidents in the Baltic Sea Ports in time interval  $[t, t + h)$ -between June 1, 2017 to September 30, 2017;

$$\Delta_1(t; h) = 22.07.$$

Applying formula (23) we get the corresponding to that one standard deviation

$$\sigma_1(t; h) = 4.67.$$

Probability that the number of accidents in the Baltic Sea Ports in that interval of time is not greater than  $d=30$  and not less than  $c=15$  is approximately equal to

$$P_{15 \leq k \leq 30} = \Phi\left(\frac{30 - 22.07}{4.67}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{15 - 22.07}{4.67}\right) =$$

$$= 0.8902.$$

## 6 CONCLUSIONS

The random processes theory deliver concepts and theorems that enable to construct stochastic models concerning the incidents or (and) accidents. Counting processes and processes with independent increments are the most appropriate for modelling number of the dangerous events and accidents number in Baltic Sea and Baltic Seaports in specified period of time. A crucial role in the models construction plays a nonhomogeneous Poisson process. Models of accidents number in the Baltic Sea and Seaports are constructed. Moreover some procedures of the model parameters identification are presented in the paper. Estimation of model parameters was made based on data from reports of HELCOM (2014) and Interreg project Baltic LINes (2016-2019). To select the most appropriate model one should verify the models using appropriate statistical tests. But it requires a large number of data.



## References

- [1] Barlow, R.E & Proshan, F. (1975). *Statistical theory of reliability and life testing*. New York: Holt, Rinchart and Winston, Inc.
- [2] Cinlar, E. (1969). Markov renewal theory. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* No 2,1; 1969: 123-187.
- [3] Feller, W. (1964). On semi-Markov processes. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 1964; 51; No 4: 653-659.
- [4] Fisz, M. (1969). *Probability and Mathematical Statistics.*, Warsaw PWN; (in Polish).
- [5] Grabski F. (2002). *Semi-Markov models of reliability and operation*. Warsaw : IBS PAN; (in Polish).
- [6] Grabski, F. (2015). *Semi-Markov Processes: Applications in Systems Reliability and Maintenance*. Elsevier; Amsterdam, Boston, Heidelberg, London, New York, Oxford, Paris, San Diego, San Francisco, Sydney.
- [7] Limnios, N. & Oprisan, G. (2001). *Semi-Markov Processes and Reliability*. Boston; Birkhauser.
- [8] Shirayayev, A. N. (1984). *Probability*. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo.
- [9] Baltic LINes (2016). Shipping in the Baltic Sea – Past, present and future developments relevant for Maritime Spatial Planning. Project Report I. 35 p.
- [10] HELCOM (2014). Annual report on shipping accidents in the Baltic Sea area during 2012. 43 pp.
- [11] HELCOM (2014). Annual report on shipping accidents in the Baltic Sea in 2013.

HAZARD project has 15 full Partners and a total budget of 4.3 million euros. It is executed from spring 2016 till spring 2019, and is part-funded by EU's Baltic Sea Region Interreg programme.

HAZARD aims at mitigating the effects of major accidents and emergencies in major multimodal seaports in the Baltic Sea Region, all handling large volumes of cargo and/or passengers.

Port facilities are often located close to residential areas, thus potentially exposing a large number of people to the consequences of accidents. The HAZARD project deals with these concerns by bringing together Rescue Services, other authorities, logistics operators and established knowledge partners.

HAZARD enables better preparedness, coordination and communication, more efficient actions to reduce damages and loss of life in emergencies, and handling of post-emergency situations by making a number of improvements.

These include harmonization and implementation of safety and security standards and regulations, communication between key actors, the use of risk analysis methods and adoption of new technologies.

See more at: <http://blogit.utu.fi/hazard/>

